UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,108	04/12/2006	Ian Faye	10191/3673	5595
26646 KENYON & K	7590 04/04/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADY		MCGRAW, TREVOR EDWIN		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/534,108	FAYE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Trevor E. McGraw	3752		

	Hevor E. MicGraw	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.076	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	liaman with 27 OFD 44 27 mount have	Elland! the land to the common with	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further col	nsideration and/or search (see NO		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): subject matter of Claim 39 (see page 5 of Applicant's remarks fi	35 USC 112 First Paragraph with led 03/04/2008). The objection to	the showing of suppo the specification has a	ert for the
overcome with Applicant's clarification for the record of disclose	<del>-</del>	<del></del>	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	-
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>19-26,28,29 and 36-39</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>27 and 31-35</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t before or on the date of filing a Ne	ation of Appendicular	be entered
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li> <li>13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Kevin P. Shaver/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3754			

Application No.

Continuation of 13. Other: Examiner has already addressed Applicant's concern regarding the newly added subject matter of "having the spray discharge openings which provide direct fluid communication between the metering conduit and a metering chamber" in the response to arguments section of the Final Rejection mailed 01/10/2008. See page 5 with emphasis on paragraphs 2 and 3 under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) heading.